

Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC Development | Marketing

MADE IN GERMANY

InpulseX Token

Audit

Security Assessment 09. March, 2023

For







Disclaimer	3
Description	5
Project Engagement	5
Logo	5
Contract Link	5
Methodology	7
Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)	8
Tested Contract Files	9
Source Lines	10
Risk Level	10
Capabilities	11
Inheritance Graph	12
CallGraph	13
Scope of Work/Verify Claims	14
Modifiers and public functions	23
Source Units in Scope	24
Critical issues	25
High issues	25
Medium issues	25
Low issues	25
Informational issues	25
Audit Comments	25
SWC Attacks	26

Disclaimer

<u>SolidProof.io</u> reports are not, nor should be considered, an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. These reports are not, nor should be considered, an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team. SolidProof.io do not cover testing or auditing the integration with external contract or services (such as Unicrypt, Uniswap, PancakeSwap etc'...)

SolidProof.io Audits do not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug- free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technology proprietors. SolidProof Audits should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. These reports in no way provide investment advice, nor should be leveraged as investment advice of any sort.

SolidProof.io Reports represent an extensive auditing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology. Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk. SolidProof's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security. SolidProof in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze.

Version	Date	Description
1.0	23. February 2023	 Layout project Automated- /Manual-Security Testing Summary
1.1	09. March 2023	• Reaudit

Network Ethereum, BSC, Avalanche, and Polygon

Website http://www.inpulsex.io/

Telegram https://t.me/InpulseX_Official

Twitter https://twitter.com/InpulseX_io

Discord https://discord.gg/kH6PaHsNHK

Facebook https://www.facebook.com/InpulseX/

Instagram http://www.instagram.com/the_nftx/

TikTok https://www.tiktok.com/@inpulsex_official

Medium https://medium.com/@InpulseX_Official

Description

InpulseX is an ambitious project created to offer unwavering support to the biggest mission of humankind, which is to become a multiplanetary species.

The InpulseX ecosystem will take the lead within the blockchain community, bringing awareness and raising financial resources to help write this exciting new chapter.

Together we will make history.

Project Engagement

During the Date of 23 February 2023, **InpulseX Team** engaged Solidproof.io to audit smart contracts that they created. The engagement was technical in nature and focused on identifying security flaws in the design and implementation of the contracts. They provided Solidproof.io with access to their code repository and whitepaper.

Logo



Contract Link

- https://github.com/KenshiTech/InpulseX/tree/master/token
- · Commit: 8d6d7518db6d795b1d41e4bbcfc0b9d7308f285f

v1.1

- https://github.com/KenshiTech/InpulseX/tree/master/token
- Commit: 8c872789d3d06a74ede9d7d2081a42d469be6102

Vulnerability & Risk Level

Risk represents the probability that a certain source-threat will exploit vulnerability, and the impact of that event on the organization or system. Risk Level is computed based on CVSS version 3.0.

Level	Value	Vulnerability	Risk (Required Action)
Critical	9 - 10	A vulnerability that can disrupt the contract functioning in a number of scenarios, or creates a risk that the contract may be broken.	Immediate action to reduce risk level.
High	7 – 8.9	A vulnerability that affects the desired outcome when using a contract, or provides the opportunity to use a contract in an unintended way.	Implementation of corrective actions as soon aspossible.
Medium	4 – 6.9	A vulnerability that could affect the desired outcome of executing the contract in a specific scenario.	Implementation of corrective actions in a certain period.
Low	2 – 3.9	A vulnerability that does not have a significant impact on possible scenarios for the use of the contract and is probably subjective.	Implementation of certain corrective actions or accepting the risk.
Informational	0 – 1.9	A vulnerability that have informational character but is not effecting any of the code.	An observation that does not determine a level of risk

Auditing Strategy and Techniques Applied

Throughout the review process, care was taken to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices. To do so, reviewed line-by-line by our team of expert pentesters and smart contract developers, documenting any issues as there were discovered.

Methodology

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
 - i) Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - ii) Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-byline in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - iii) Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to SolidProof describe.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
 - i) Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - ii) Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs causes each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

Used Code from other Frameworks/Smart Contracts (direct imports)

Imported packages: v1.0

./interfaces/IERC20.sol ./interfaces/IERC165.sol ./interfaces/IERC1363.sol ./interfaces/IERC1363Receiver.sol ./interfaces/IERC1363Spender.sol ./libraries/Address.sol ./libraries/Context.sol ./libraries/Ownable.sol

Tested Contract Files

This audit covered the following files listed below with a SHA-1 Hash.

A file with a different Hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. A different Hash could be (but not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of this review.

/1.0	
File Name	SHA-1 Hash
contracts/ InpulseX.sol	82f735897a5402a73920a8e71983f002172f187 6



Source Lines v1.0



Capabilities

Components

Contracts	ontracts 📚Libraries 🔍Interfaces		Abstract	
1	0	0	0	

Exposed Functions

This section lists functions that are explicitly declared public or payable. Please note that getter methods for public stateVars are not included.

()Public	💰 Payable
20	0

External	Internal	Private	Pure	View
13	21	0	5	3

StateVariables

Total	() Public
6	0

Capabilities

Solidity Versions observed 🖍 Experimental		ental Features	Ś	Can Receive Funds	.	Jses Assembly	Has Destroyable Contracts	
^0.8.17				_				
📥 Transfers ETH	<mark>∳</mark> Low	-Level Calls	👥 DelegateCa	all	📆 Uses Hash Funct	ons	JecRecover	6 New/Create/Create2
yes								

 TryCatch
 Σ Unchecked

 yes

Dependencies / External Imports

Dependency / Import Path Count



Inheritance Graph v1.0



CallGraph v1.0



Scope of Work/Verify Claims

The above token Team provided us with the files that needs to be tested (Github, Bscscan, Etherscan, files, etc.). The scope of the audit is the main contract (usual the same name as team appended with .sol).

We will verify the following claims:

- 1. Is contract an upgradeable
- 2. Deployer cannot mint any new tokens
- 3. Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds
- 4. Deployer cannot pause the contract
- 5. Deployer cannot set fees
- 6. Deployer cannot blacklist/antisnipe addresses
- 7. Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)

Is contract an upgradeable

Name

Is contract an upgradeable?

No



Write functions of contract v1.0

- transfer
- 🔶 approve
- transferFrom
- increaseAllowance
- decreaseAllowance
- transferAndCall
- transferFromAndCall
- approveAndCall
- recoverERC20

Deployer cannot mint any new tokens

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot mint	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Max / Total Supply	6.000.000.000		

Comments: **v1.0**

• Owner cannot mint



Deployer cannot burn or lock user funds

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot lock	-	-	-
Deployer can burn	-	_	-



Deployer cannot pause the contract

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot pause	-	-	-



Deployer cannot set fees

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot set fees over 25%	-	-	-
Deployer cannot set fees to nearly 100% or to 100%	_	_	_



Deployer can blacklist/antisnipe addresses

Name	Exist	Tested	Status
Deployer cannot blacklist/antisnipe addresses	_	-	-



Overall checkup (Smart Contract Security)



Legend

Attribute	Symbol
Verified / Checked	\checkmark
Partly Verified	N
Unverified / Not checked	×
Not available	-



Modifiers and public functions v1.0

- transfer
- 🔶 approve
- transferFrom
- increaseAllowance
- decreaseAllowance
- transferAndCall
- transferFromAndCall
- approveAndCall
- recoverERC20

Comments

• The owner is able to withdraw tokens from the contract but not the native ones.

Please check if an OnlyOwner or similar restrictive modifier has been forgotten.

Source Units in Scope v1.0

File	Logic Contracts	Interfaces	Lines	nLines	nSLOC	Comment Lines	Complex. Score
contracts/InpulseX.sol	1		447	385	171	176	122
Totals	1		447	385	171	176	122

Legend

Attribute	Description
Lines	total lines of the source unit
nLines	normalised lines of the source unit (e.g. normalises functions spanning multiple lines)
nSLOC	normalised source lines of code (only source-code lines; no comments, no blank lines)
Comment Lines	lines containing single or block comments
Complexity Score	a custom complexity score derived from code statements that are known to introduce code complexity (branches, loops, calls, external interfaces,)



Audit Results

Critical issues

No critical issues

High issues

No high issues

Medium issues

No medium issues

Low issues

No low issues

Informational issues

Issue	File	Туре	Line	Description
#1	Main	NatSpec documentation missing	-	If you started to comment your code, also comment all other functions, variables etc.

Audit Comments

We recommend you to use the special form of comments (NatSpec Format, Follow link for more information <u>https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/</u> <u>latest/natspec-format.html</u>) for your contracts to provide rich documentation for functions, return variables and more. This helps investors to make clear what that variables, functions etc. do.

09. March 2023:

- There is still an owner (Owner still has not renounced ownership)
- Read whole report and modifiers section for more information

SWC Attacks

ID	Title	Relationships	Status
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>36</u>	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	<u>CWE-767: Access to Critical</u> <u>Private Variable via Public</u> <u>Method</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>35</u>	Code With No Effects	<u>CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>34</u>	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	<u>CWE-655: Improper</u> <u>Initialization</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>33</u>	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	<u>CWE-294: Authentication</u> <u>Bypass by Capture-replay</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>32</u>	Unexpected Ether balance	<u>CWE-667: Improper Locking</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>31</u>	Presence of unused variables	<u>CWE-1164: Irrelevant Code</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>30</u>	Right-To-Left- Override control character (U+202E)	<u>CWE-451: User Interface (UI)</u> <u>Misrepresentation of Critical</u> <u>Information</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>29</u>	Typographical Error	<u>CWE-480: Use of Incorrect</u> <u>Operator</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>28</u>	DoS With Block Gas Limit	<u>CWE-400: Uncontrolled</u> <u>Resource Consumption</u>	PASSED

<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>27</u>	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	<u>CWE-695: Use of Low-Level</u> <u>Functionality</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>25</u>	Incorrect Inheritance Order	<u>CWE-696: Incorrect Behavior</u> <u>Order</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>24</u>	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	<u>CWE-123: Write-what-where</u> <u>Condition</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>23</u>	Requirement Violation	<u>CWE-573: Improper Following</u> of Specification by Caller	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>22</u>	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	<u>CWE-345: Insufficient</u> <u>Verification of Data</u> <u>Authenticity</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>21</u>	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	<u>CWE-347: Improper</u> <u>Verification of Cryptographic</u> <u>Signature</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>20</u>	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	<u>CWE-330: Use of Insufficiently</u> <u>Random Values</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> 9	Shadowing State Variables	<u>CWE-710: Improper Adherence</u> to Coding Standards	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>8</u>	Incorrect Constructor Name	<u>CWE-665: Improper</u> <u>Initialization</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> 7	Signature Malleability	<u>CWE-347: Improper</u> <u>Verification of Cryptographic</u> <u>Signature</u>	PASSED

<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>6</u>	Timestamp Dependence	<u>CWE-829: Inclusion of</u> <u>Functionality from Untrusted</u> <u>Control Sphere</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>5</u>	Authorization through tx.origin	<u>CWE-477: Use of Obsolete</u> <u>Function</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>4</u>	Transaction Order Dependence	<u>CWE-362: Concurrent</u> <u>Execution using Shared</u> <u>Resource with Improper</u> <u>Synchronization ('Race</u> <u>Condition')</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>3</u>	DoS with Failed Call	<u>CWE-703: Improper Check or</u> <u>Handling of Exceptional</u> <u>Conditions</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>2</u>	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	<u>CWE-829: Inclusion of</u> <u>Functionality from Untrusted</u> <u>Control Sphere</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>1</u>	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	<u>CWE-477: Use of Obsolete</u> <u>Function</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-11</u> <u>0</u>	Assert Violation	<u>CWE-670: Always-Incorrect</u> <u>Control Flow Implementation</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>09</u>	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	<u>CWE-824: Access of</u> <u>Uninitialized Pointer</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>08</u>	State Variable Default Visibility	<u>CWE-710: Improper Adherence</u> <u>to Coding Standards</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>07</u>	Reentrancy	<u>CWE-841: Improper</u> <u>Enforcement of Behavioral</u> <u>Workflow</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>06</u>	Unprotected SELFDESTRUC T Instruction	<u>CWE-284: Improper Access</u> <u>Control</u>	PASSED

<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>05</u>	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	<u>CWE-284: Improper Access</u> <u>Control</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>04</u>	Unchecked Call Return Value	<u>CWE-252: Unchecked Return</u> <u>Value</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>03</u>	Floating Pragma	<u>CWE-664: Improper Control of</u> <u>a Resource Through its</u> <u>Lifetime</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>02</u>	Outdated Compiler Version	<u>CWE-937: Using Components</u> with Known Vulnerabilities	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>01</u>	Integer Overflow and Underflow	<u>CWE-682: Incorrect</u> <u>Calculation</u>	PASSED
<u>SW</u> <u>C-1</u> <u>00</u>	Function Default Visibility	<u>CWE-710: Improper Adherence</u> <u>to Coding Standards</u>	PASSED









Blockchain Security | Smart Contract Audits | KYC Development | Marketing

